

Higher Gross–Zagier formula and relative Langlands duality

Zeyu Wang

MIT

August 7, 2026

Overview

Gross–Zagier-type formula:

Intersection numbers $\iff$ Derivatives of L -functions

Relative Langlands duality: (Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh)

$$G \curvearrowright M \iff \check{G} \curvearrowright \check{M}$$

Period integral attached to M $\iff$ L -function attached to $\check{M}$

Suggested by function-field examples, we propose:

Arithmetic Relative Langlands duality:

Intersection numbers of special cycles
attached to M $\iff$ Derivatives of L -functions attached to
 $I \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}})$

We call I the **intersection vector field**.

Overview

Gross–Zagier-type formula:

Intersection numbers $\iff$ Derivatives of L -functions

Relative Langlands duality: (Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh)

$$G \curvearrowright M \iff \check{G} \curvearrowright \check{M}$$

Period integral attached to M $\iff$ L -function attached to $\check{M}$

Suggested by function-field examples, we propose:

Arithmetic Relative Langlands duality:

Intersection numbers of special cycles
attached to M $\iff$ Derivatives of L -functions attached to
 $I \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}})$

We call I the **intersection vector field**.

Gross–Zagier-type formula:

Intersection numbers $\iff$ Derivatives of L -functions

Relative Langlands duality: (Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh)

$$G \curvearrowright M \iff \check{G} \curvearrowright \check{M}$$

Period integral attached to M $\iff$ L -function attached to $\check{M}$

Suggested by function-field examples, we propose:

Arithmetic Relative Langlands duality:

Intersection numbers of special cycles
attached to M $\iff$ Derivatives of L -functions attached to
 $I \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}})$

We call I the **intersection vector field**.

Outline

- 1 Gross–Zagier-type formulas
- 2 Relative Langlands duality
- 3 Intersection vector field
- 4 Proof strategy in examples

Gross–Zagier-type formulas

- 1 Gross–Zagier-type formulas
- 2 Relative Langlands duality
- 3 Intersection vector field
- 4 Proof strategy in examples

Special cycles on Shimura varieties

Consider a map between Shimura data:

$$p : (H, h_H) \rightarrow (G, h_G).$$

After choosing compatible level subgroups, this induces a map of Shimura varieties:

$$p_{\text{Sh}} : \text{Sh}_H \rightarrow \text{Sh}_G.$$

Let $V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ be the highest weight representation associated with h_G . The *special cycle* attached to p is

$$Z_V = (p_{\text{Sh}})_! [\text{Sh}_H] \in \text{CH}^*(\text{Sh}_G).$$

Special cycles on Shimura varieties

Consider a map between Shimura data:

$$p : (H, h_H) \rightarrow (G, h_G).$$

After choosing compatible level subgroups, this induces a map of Shimura varieties:

$$p_{\text{Sh}} : \text{Sh}_H \rightarrow \text{Sh}_G.$$

Let $V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ be the highest weight representation associated with h_G . The *special cycle* attached to p is

$$Z_V = (p_{\text{Sh}})_! [\text{Sh}_H] \in \text{CH}^*(\text{Sh}_G).$$

Gross–Zagier-type formulas

For a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation π of G , a *Gross–Zagier-type formula* is an identity of the form

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\pi, \frac{1}{2}),$$

where

- $(Z_V)_\pi \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G)_{\pi,0}$ is the π -isotypic component of Z_V .
- $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the Beilinson–Bloch height pairing.
- $L(\pi, s)$ is the relevant L -function.

For $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ and a non-split maximal torus H (split over an imaginary quadratic field), this recovers the original Gross–Zagier formula.

Gross–Zagier-type formulas

For a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation π of G , a *Gross–Zagier-type formula* is an identity of the form

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\pi, \frac{1}{2}),$$

where

- $(Z_V)_\pi \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G)_{\pi,0}$ is the π -isotypic component of Z_V .
- $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the Beilinson–Bloch height pairing.
- $L(\pi, s)$ is the relevant L -function.

For $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ and a non-split maximal torus H (split over an imaginary quadratic field), this recovers the original Gross–Zagier formula.

Gross–Zagier-type formulas

For a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation π of G , a *Gross–Zagier-type formula* is an identity of the form

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\pi, \frac{1}{2}),$$

where

- $(Z_V)_\pi \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G)_{\pi,0}$ is the π -isotypic component of Z_V .
- $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the Beilinson–Bloch height pairing.
- $L(\pi, s)$ is the relevant L -function.

For $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ and a non-split maximal torus H (split over an imaginary quadratic field), this recovers the original Gross–Zagier formula.

Example 1: GGP cycles

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= U(n-2, 1), & G &= U(n-1, 1) \times U(n-2, 1) \\
 \check{G} &= \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, & V &= \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}) \\
 \pi &= \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \\
 p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, & Z_V &= (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (Gan–Gross–Prasad)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\mathrm{BC}(\pi_n) \times \mathrm{BC}(\pi_{n-1}), \tfrac{1}{2}).$$

Similar formulas: Orthogonal AGGP, AIPF...

Example 1: GGP cycles

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= U(n-2, 1), & G &= U(n-1, 1) \times U(n-2, 1) \\
 \check{G} &= \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, & V &= \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}) \\
 \pi &= \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \\
 p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, & Z_V &= (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (Gan–Gross–Prasad)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\mathrm{BC}(\pi_n) \times \mathrm{BC}(\pi_{n-1}), \tfrac{1}{2}).$$

Similar formulas: Orthogonal AGGP, AIPF...

Example 1: GGP cycles

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= U(n-2, 1), & G &= U(n-1, 1) \times U(n-2, 1) \\
 \check{G} &= \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, & V &= \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}) \\
 \pi &= \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \\
 p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, & Z_V &= (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (Gan–Gross–Prasad)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\mathrm{BC}(\pi_n) \times \mathrm{BC}(\pi_{n-1}), \tfrac{1}{2}).$$

Similar formulas: Orthogonal AGGP, AIPF...

Example 1: GGP cycles

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= U(n-2, 1), & G &= U(n-1, 1) \times U(n-2, 1) \\
 \check{G} &= \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, & V &= \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}) \\
 \pi &= \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \\
 p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, & Z_V &= (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (Gan–Gross–Prasad)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\mathrm{BC}(\pi_n) \times \mathrm{BC}(\pi_{n-1}), \tfrac{1}{2}).$$

Similar formulas: Orthogonal AGGP, AIPF...

Example 1: GGP cycles

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= U(n-2, 1), & G &= U(n-1, 1) \times U(n-2, 1) \\
 \check{G} &= \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, & V &= \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}) \\
 \pi &= \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \\
 p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, & Z_V &= (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (Gan–Gross–Prasad)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\mathrm{BC}(\pi_n) \times \mathrm{BC}(\pi_{n-1}), \frac{1}{2}).$$

Similar formulas: Orthogonal AGGP, AIPF...

Example 1: GGP cycles

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= U(n-2, 1), & G &= U(n-1, 1) \times U(n-2, 1) \\
 \check{G} &= \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, & V &= \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}) \\
 \pi &= \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \\
 p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, & Z_V &= (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (Gan–Gross–Prasad)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\mathrm{BC}(\pi_n) \times \mathrm{BC}(\pi_{n-1}), \frac{1}{2}).$$

Similar formulas: Orthogonal AGGP, AIPF...

Example 1: GGP cycles

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= U(n-2, 1), & G &= U(n-1, 1) \times U(n-2, 1) \\
 \check{G} &= \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, & V &= \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}) \\
 \pi &= \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \\
 p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, & Z_V &= (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (Gan–Gross–Prasad)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\mathrm{BC}(\pi_n) \times \mathrm{BC}(\pi_{n-1}), \tfrac{1}{2}).$$

Similar formulas: Orthogonal AGGP, AIPF...

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$$H = U(n-1, 1), \quad G = H \times H$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_n$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_n^\vee$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, \quad Z_V = (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).$$

$$Z'_V = Z_V \cap \widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G)$$

Conjecture (Chen–Lu–Zhang)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z'_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\pi_n, \mathrm{Ad}, 0).$$

A version of this is proved when $n = 2$ (Chen–Lu–Zhang).

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$$H = U(n-1, 1), \quad G = H \times H$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_n$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_n^\vee$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, \quad Z_V = (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).$$

$$Z'_V = Z_V \cap \widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G)$$

Conjecture (Chen–Lu–Zhang)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z'_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\pi_n, \mathrm{Ad}, 0).$$

A version of this is proved when $n = 2$ (Chen–Lu–Zhang).

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= U(n-1, 1), & G &= H \times H \\
 \check{G} &= \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n, & V &= \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_n \\
 \pi &= \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_n^\vee
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, & Z_V &= (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G). \\
 Z'_V &= Z_V \cap \widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G)
 \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (Chen–Lu–Zhang)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z'_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\pi_n, \mathrm{Ad}, 0).$$

A version of this is proved when $n = 2$ (Chen–Lu–Zhang).

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$$H = U(n-1, 1), \quad G = H \times H$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_n$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_n^\vee$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, \quad Z_V = (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).$$

$$Z'_V = Z_V \cap \widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G)$$

Conjecture (Chen–Lu–Zhang)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z'_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\pi_n, \mathrm{Ad}, 0).$$

A version of this is proved when $n = 2$ (Chen–Lu–Zhang).

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$$H = U(n-1, 1), \quad G = H \times H$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_n$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_n^\vee$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, \quad Z_V = (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).$$

$$Z'_V = Z_V \cap \widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G)$$

Conjecture (Chen–Lu–Zhang)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z'_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\pi_n, \mathrm{Ad}, 0).$$

A version of this is proved when $n = 2$ (Chen–Lu–Zhang).

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$$H = U(n-1, 1), \quad G = H \times H$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_n$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_n^\vee$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, \quad Z_V = (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).$$

$$Z'_V = Z_V \cap \widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G)$$

Conjecture (Chen–Lu–Zhang)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z'_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\pi_n, \mathrm{Ad}, 0).$$

A version of this is proved when $n = 2$ (Chen–Lu–Zhang).

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$$H = U(n-1, 1), \quad G = H \times H$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_n$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_n^\vee$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G, \quad Z_V = (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H] \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G).$$

$$Z'_V = Z_V \cap \widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G)$$

Conjecture (Chen–Lu–Zhang)

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z'_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim L'(\pi_n, \mathrm{Ad}, 0).$$

A version of this is proved when $n = 2$ (Chen–Lu–Zhang).

Higher Gross–Zagier-type formulas

Special cycles have a parallel function-field theory:

Number field	Function field
$\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$	$C_{/\mathbb{F}_q}$ curve
(G, h_G)	$(G, V \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}))$
Sh_G	$\mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
$p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G$	$p_{\mathrm{Sht}} : \mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r \rightarrow \mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r$
$Z_V = (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H]$	$Z_V^r = (p_{\mathrm{Sht}})_! [\mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r]$

A higher Gross–Zagier-type formula has the form

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_{\pi}, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^{\vee}} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi, s).$$

For $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ and a non-split maximal torus H , this recovers Yun–Zhang’s higher Gross–Zagier formula.

Higher Gross–Zagier-type formulas

Special cycles have a parallel function-field theory:

Number field	Function field
$\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$	$C_{/\mathbb{F}_q}$ curve
(G, h_G)	$(G, V \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}))$
Sh_G	$\mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
$p_{\mathrm{Sh}} : \mathrm{Sh}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_G$	$p_{\mathrm{Sht}} : \mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r \rightarrow \mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r$
$Z_V = (p_{\mathrm{Sh}})_! [\mathrm{Sh}_H]$	$Z_V^r = (p_{\mathrm{Sht}})_! [\mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r]$

A higher Gross–Zagier-type formula has the form

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi, s).$$

For $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ and a non-split maximal torus H , this recovers Yun–Zhang’s higher Gross–Zagier formula.

Higher Gross–Zagier-type formulas

Special cycles have a parallel function-field theory:

Number field	Function field
$\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$	$C_{/\mathbb{F}_q}$ curve
(G, h_G)	$(G, V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G}))$
Sh_G	$\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
$p_{\text{Sh}} : \text{Sh}_H \rightarrow \text{Sh}_G$	$p_{\text{Sht}} : \text{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r \rightarrow \text{Sht}_{G,V}^r$
$Z_V = (p_{\text{Sh}})_! [\text{Sh}_H]$	$Z_V^r = (p_{\text{Sht}})_! [\text{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r]$

A higher Gross–Zagier-type formula has the form

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi, s).$$

For $G = \text{PGL}_2$ and a non-split maximal torus H , this recovers Yun–Zhang’s higher Gross–Zagier formula.

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg cycles

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^* \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \text{ unramified}$$

$$\rho_\pi : \mathrm{Gal} = \pi_1(C) \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \text{ is the } L\text{-parameter of } \pi$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sht}} : \mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r \rightarrow \mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r, \quad Z_V^r = (p_{\mathrm{Sht}})_! [\mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r).$$

Theorem (Liu–W, W25)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible, then

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi, V, s + 1/2).$$

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg cycles

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^* \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \text{ unramified}$$

$$\rho_\pi : \mathrm{Gal} = \pi_1(C) \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \text{ is the } L\text{-parameter of } \pi$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sht}} : \mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r \rightarrow \mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r, \quad Z_V^r = (p_{\mathrm{Sht}})_! [\mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r).$$

Theorem (Liu–W, W25)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible, then

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi, V, s + 1/2).$$

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg cycles

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^* \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \text{ unramified}$$

$$\rho_\pi : \mathrm{Gal} = \pi_1(C) \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \text{ is the } L\text{-parameter of } \pi$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sht}} : \mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r \rightarrow \mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r, \quad Z_V^r = (p_{\mathrm{Sht}})_! [\mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r).$$

Theorem (Liu–W, W25)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible, then

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi, V, s + 1/2).$$

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg cycles

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^* \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \text{ unramified}$$

$$\rho_\pi : \mathrm{Gal} = \pi_1(C) \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \text{ is the } L\text{-parameter of } \pi$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sht}} : \mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r \rightarrow \mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r, \quad Z_V^r = (p_{\mathrm{Sht}})_! [\mathrm{Sht}_{H,V_H}^r] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}^r).$$

Theorem (Liu–W, W25)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible, then

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi, V, s + 1/2).$$

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg cycles

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^* \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \text{ unramified}$$

$$\rho_\pi : \mathrm{Gal} = \pi_1(C) \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \text{ is the } L\text{-parameter of } \pi$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sht}} : \mathrm{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r \rightarrow \mathrm{Sht}_{G, V}^r, \quad Z_V^r = (p_{\mathrm{Sht}})_! [\mathrm{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sht}_{G, V}^r).$$

Theorem (Liu–W, W25)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible, then

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi, V, s + 1/2).$$

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg cycles

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad V = \mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^* \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

$$\pi = \pi_n \boxtimes \pi_{n-1} \text{ unramified}$$

$$\rho_\pi : \mathrm{Gal} = \pi_1(C) \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \text{ is the } L\text{-parameter of } \pi$$

$$p_{\mathrm{Sht}} : \mathrm{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r \rightarrow \mathrm{Sht}_{G, V}^r, \quad Z_V^r = (p_{\mathrm{Sht}})_! [\mathrm{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathrm{Sht}_{G, V}^r).$$

Theorem (Liu-W, W25)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible, then

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi, V, s + 1/2).$$

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$H =$ split simple reductive group, $G = H \times H$

$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \pi_H \boxtimes \pi_H^\vee$ unramified

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} = C_{\check{G}}(\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$

$p_{\text{Sht}} : \text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r \rightarrow \text{Sht}_{G, V}^r$, $Z_V^r = (p_{\text{Sht}})_! [\text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$.

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$

Theorem (W26)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible and $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} = Z(\check{G})$, then

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi_H, \text{Ad}, \epsilon_{V_H, s}).$$

The constant ϵ_{V_H} is an example of an **eigenweight**.

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$H =$ split simple reductive group, $G = H \times H$
 $\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \pi_H \boxtimes \pi_H^\vee$ unramified

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} = C_{\check{G}}(\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$

$p_{\text{Sht}} : \text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r \rightarrow \text{Sht}_{G, V}^r$, $Z_V^r = (p_{\text{Sht}})_! [\text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$.

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$

Theorem (W26)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible and $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} = Z(\check{G})$, then

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi_H, \text{Ad}, \epsilon_{V_H, s}).$$

The constant ϵ_{V_H} is an example of an **eigenweight**.

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$H =$ split simple reductive group, $G = H \times H$

$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \pi_H \boxtimes \pi_H^\vee$ unramified

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$, $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}} = C_{\check{G}}(\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$

$p_{\text{Sht}} : \text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r \rightarrow \text{Sht}_{G, V}^r$, $Z_V^r = (p_{\text{Sht}})_! [\text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$.

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$

Theorem (W26)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible and $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}} = Z(\check{G})$, then

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi_H, \text{Ad}, \epsilon_{V_H, s}).$$

The constant ϵ_{V_H} is an example of an **eigenweight**.

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$H =$ split simple reductive group, $G = H \times H$

$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \pi_H \boxtimes \pi_H^\vee$ unramified

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$, $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}} = C_{\check{G}}(\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$

$p_{\text{Sht}} : \text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r \rightarrow \text{Sht}_{G, V}^r$, $Z_V^r = (p_{\text{Sht}})_! [\text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$.

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$

Theorem (W26)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible and $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}} = Z(\check{G})$, then

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi_H, \text{Ad}, \epsilon_{V_H, s}).$$

The constant ϵ_{V_H} is an example of an **eigenweight**.

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$H =$ split simple reductive group, $G = H \times H$

$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \pi_H \boxtimes \pi_H^\vee$ unramified

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$, $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}} = C_{\check{G}}(\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$

$p_{\text{Sht}} : \text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r \rightarrow \text{Sht}_{G, V}^r$, $Z_V = (p_{\text{Sht}})_! [\text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$.

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$

Theorem (W26)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible and $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}} = Z(\check{G})$, then

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi_H, \text{Ad}, \epsilon_{V_H, s}).$$

The constant ϵ_{V_H} is an example of an **eigenweight**.

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$H =$ split simple reductive group, $G = H \times H$

$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \pi_H \boxtimes \pi_H^\vee$ unramified

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} = C_{\check{G}}(\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$

$p_{\text{Sht}} : \text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r \rightarrow \text{Sht}_{G, V}^r$, $Z_V^r = (p_{\text{Sht}})_! [\text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$.

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$

Theorem (W26)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible and $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} = Z(\check{G})$, then

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi_H, \text{Ad}, \epsilon_{V_H, s}).$$

The constant ϵ_{V_H} is an example of an **eigenweight**.

Example 2: Diagonal cycles

$H =$ split simple reductive group, $G = H \times H$

$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \pi_H \boxtimes \pi_H^\vee$ unramified

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$, $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}} = C_{\check{G}}(\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$

$p_{\text{Sht}} : \text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r \rightarrow \text{Sht}_{G, V}^r$, $Z_V^r = (p_{\text{Sht}})_! [\text{Sht}_{H, V_H}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$.

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G, V}^r)$

Theorem (W26)

If ρ_π is geometrically irreducible and $S_{\rho_\pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}} = Z(\check{G})$, then

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L(\pi_H, \text{Ad}, \epsilon_{V_H} s).$$

The constant ϵ_{V_H} is an example of an **eigenweight**.

Pseudo-example 3: Volume

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \text{triv}$

$Z_V^r = [\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i^{d_V+1} \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$

Theorem (Feng–Yun–Zhang, W–Wei)

$$“\langle Z_V^r, (Z_V^r)' \rangle” = “\text{vol}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)” \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L_{G,V}(s)$$

- $L_{G,V}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{rank}(G)} \zeta_{\mathbb{C}}(\epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i)$
- d_i are the degrees of generators of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$
- $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are examples of **eigenweights**.

Pseudo-example 3: Volume

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \text{triv}$

$Z_V^r = [\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i^{d_V+1} \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$

Theorem (Feng–Yun–Zhang, W–Wei)

$$“\langle Z_V^r, (Z_V^r)' \rangle” = “\text{vol}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)” \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L_{G,V}(s)$$

- $L_{G,V}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{rank}(G)} \zeta_{\mathbb{C}}(\epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i)$
- d_i are the degrees of generators of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$
- $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are examples of **eigenweights**.

Pseudo-example 3: Volume

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \text{triv}$

$$Z_V^r = [\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$$

$$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i^{d_V+1} \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$$

Theorem (Feng–Yun–Zhang, W–Wei)

$$“\langle Z_V^r, (Z_V^r)' \rangle” = “\text{vol}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)” \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L_{G,V}(s)$$

- $L_{G,V}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{rank}(G)} \zeta_{\mathbb{C}}(\epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i)$
- d_i are the degrees of generators of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$
- $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are examples of **eigenweights**.

Pseudo-example 3: Volume

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \text{triv}$

$Z_V^r = [\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i^{d_V+1} \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$

Theorem (Feng–Yun–Zhang, W–Wei)

$$“\langle Z_V^r, (Z_V^r)' \rangle” = “\text{vol}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)” \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L_{G,V}(s)$$

- $L_{G,V}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{rank}(G)} \zeta_{\mathbb{C}}(\epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i)$
- d_i are the degrees of generators of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$
- $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are examples of **eigenweights**.

Pseudo-example 3: Volume

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \text{triv}$

$Z_V^r = [\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i^{d_V+1} \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$

Theorem (Feng–Yun–Zhang, W–Wei)

$$“\langle Z_V^r, (Z_V^r)' \rangle” = “\text{vol}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)” \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L_{G,V}(s)$$

- $L_{G,V}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{rank}(G)} \zeta_{\mathbb{C}}(\epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i)$
- d_i are the degrees of generators of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$
- $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are examples of **eigenweights**.

Pseudo-example 3: Volume

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ irreducible

$\pi = \text{triv}$

$Z_V^r = [\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r] \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$

$(Z_V^r)' = Z_V^r \cap \prod_{i=1}^r \omega_i^{d_V+1} \in IH_*^{BM}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)$

Theorem (Feng–Yun–Zhang, W-Wei)

$$“\langle Z_V^r, (Z_V^r)' \rangle” = “\text{vol}(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)” \sim \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} L_{G,V}(s)$$

- $L_{G,V}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{rank}(G)} \zeta_{\mathbb{C}}(\epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i)$
- d_i are the degrees of generators of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$
- $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are examples of **eigenweights**.

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- **Rankin–Selberg periods:** Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- **Lapid–Mao periods:** Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- **Trivial periods:** Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- **Derived geometric Satake equivalence:** Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- **Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:**
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- **Rankin–Selberg periods:** Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- **Lapid–Mao periods:** Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- **Trivial periods:** Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- **Derived geometric Satake equivalence:** Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- **Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:**
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- Rankin–Selberg periods: Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- Lapid–Mao periods: Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- Trivial periods: Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- Derived geometric Satake equivalence: Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- Rankin–Selberg periods: Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- Lapid–Mao periods: Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- Trivial periods: Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- Derived geometric Satake equivalence: Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence: Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- **Rankin–Selberg periods:** Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- **Lapid–Mao periods:** Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- **Trivial periods:** Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- **Derived geometric Satake equivalence:** Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- **Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:**
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- **Rankin–Selberg periods:** Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- **Lapid–Mao periods:** Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- **Trivial periods:** Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- **Derived geometric Satake equivalence:** Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- **Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:**
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- **Rankin–Selberg periods:** Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- **Lapid–Mao periods:** Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- **Trivial periods:** Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- **Derived geometric Satake equivalence:** Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- **Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:**
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- **Rankin–Selberg periods:** Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- **Lapid–Mao periods:** Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- **Trivial periods:** Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- **Derived geometric Satake equivalence:** Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- **Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:**
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- **Rankin–Selberg periods:** Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- **Lapid–Mao periods:** Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- **Trivial periods:** Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- **Derived geometric Satake equivalence:** Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- **Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:**
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- **Rankin–Selberg periods:** Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- **Lapid–Mao periods:** Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- **Trivial periods:** Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- **Derived geometric Satake equivalence:** Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- **Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:**
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- **Rankin–Selberg periods:** Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- **Lapid–Mao periods:** Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- **Trivial periods:** Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- **Derived geometric Satake equivalence:** Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- **Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:**
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Who is behind the proof?

- **Framework:**

- **Geometric Langlands:** Beilinson–Drinfeld,...
- **Relative Langlands duality:** Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- **Categorical trace description of cohomology of Shtukas:**
Arinkin–Gaitsgory–Kazhdan–Raskin–Rozenblyum–Varshavsky

- **Global input:**

- **Rankin–Selberg periods:** Frenkel–Gaitsgory–Vilonen, Lysenko
- **Lapid–Mao periods:** Lysenko, Gaitsgory–Raskin
- **Trivial periods:** Atiyah–Bott, Heinloth–Schmitt

- **Local input:**

- **Derived geometric Satake equivalence:** Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirković–Vilonen, Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, Yun–Zhu
- **Rankin–Selberg derived geometric Satake equivalence:**
Braverman–Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin

- Kolyvagin system over function fields (Yun–Zhang), many others...

Relative Langlands duality

- 1 Gross–Zagier-type formulas
- 2 Relative Langlands duality**
- 3 Intersection vector field
- 4 Proof strategy in examples

Hyperspherical duality

Let $X = H \backslash G$. Its cotangent bundle $M = T^*X$ is a graded G -Hamiltonian space.

If M is *hyperspherical*, Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh associate to it a graded $\check{G}$ -Hamiltonian space $\check{M}$.

Concretely, $\check{M}$ is a $\check{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -variety equipped with:

- a symplectic form $\omega \in \Gamma(\check{M}, \Omega_{\check{M}}^2)$ of weight 2;
- a compatible moment map $m : \check{M} \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}}^*(2)$.

Hyperspherical duality

Let $X = H \backslash G$. Its cotangent bundle $M = T^*X$ is a graded G -Hamiltonian space.

If M is *hyperspherical*, Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh associate to it a graded $\check{G}$ -Hamiltonian space $\check{M}$.

Concretely, $\check{M}$ is a $\check{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -variety equipped with:

- a symplectic form $\omega \in \Gamma(\check{M}, \Omega_{\check{M}}^2)$ of weight 2;
- a compatible moment map $m : \check{M} \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}}^*(2)$.

Hyperspherical duality

Let $X = H \backslash G$. Its cotangent bundle $M = T^*X$ is a graded G -Hamiltonian space.

If M is *hyperspherical*, Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh associate to it a graded $\check{G}$ -Hamiltonian space $\check{M}$.

Concretely, $\check{M}$ is a $\check{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -variety equipped with:

- a symplectic form $\omega \in \Gamma(\check{M}, \Omega_{\check{M}}^2)$ of weight 2;
- a compatible moment map $m : \check{M} \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}}^*(2)$.

Period integrals and L -functions

π : tempered cuspidal automorphic representation

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter

$\check{M}^{\rho_\pi(\text{Gal})} = \{x\}$ is a single point

$T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$: cotangent space of $\check{M}$ at x

$f \in \pi$: normalized test vector

Conjecture (Ben-Zvi–Sakellaris–Venkatesh)

$$\left| \int_{[H]} f \right|^2 \sim \prod_i L(S_i, d_i/2)$$

Period integrals and L -functions

π : tempered cuspidal automorphic representation

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter

$\check{M}^{\rho_\pi(\text{Gal})} = \{x\}$ is a single point

$T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$: cotangent space of $\check{M}$ at x

$f \in \pi$: normalized test vector

Conjecture (Ben-Zvi–Sakellaris–Venkatesh)

$$\left| \int_{[H]} f^2 \right| \sim \prod_i L(S_i, d_i/2)$$

Period integrals and L -functions

π : tempered cuspidal automorphic representation

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter

$\check{M}^{\rho_\pi(\text{Gal})} = \{x\}$ is a single point

$T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$: cotangent space of $\check{M}$ at x

$f \in \pi$: normalized test vector

Conjecture (Ben-Zvi–Sakellaris–Venkatesh)

$$\left| \int_{[H]} f^2 \right| \sim \prod_i L(S_i, d_i/2)$$

Period integrals and L -functions

π : tempered cuspidal automorphic representation

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter

$\check{M}^{\rho_\pi(\text{Gal})} = \{x\}$ is a single point

$T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$: cotangent space of $\check{M}$ at x

$f \in \pi$: normalized test vector

Conjecture (Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh)

$$\left| \int_{[H]} f^2 \right| \sim \prod_i L(S_i, d_i/2)$$

Period integrals and L -functions

π : tempered cuspidal automorphic representation

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter

$\check{M}^{\rho_\pi(\text{Gal})} = \{x\}$ is a single point

$T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$: cotangent space of $\check{M}$ at x

$f \in \pi$: normalized test vector

Conjecture (Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh)

$$\left| \int_{[H]} f^2 \right|^2 \sim \prod_i L(S_i, d_i/2)$$

Period integrals and L -functions

π : tempered cuspidal automorphic representation

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter

$\check{M}^{\rho_\pi(\text{Gal})} = \{x\}$ is a single point

$T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$: cotangent space of $\check{M}$ at x

$f \in \pi$: normalized test vector

Conjecture (Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh)

$$\left| \int_{[H]} f \right|^2 \sim \prod_i L(S_i, d_i/2)$$

Expectation

π : tempered cuspidal automorphic representation

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter

$\check{M}^{\rho_\pi(\text{Gal})} = \{x\}$ is a single point

$T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$: cotangent space of $\check{M}$ at x

For suitable special cycles Z_V, Z'_V , we expect

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z'_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \prod_i L(S_i, \epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i/2).$$

The constants $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are called **eigenweights**, and are determined by an **intersection vector field** $I \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^{\check{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m})$ constructed from Z_V, Z'_V .

Expectation

π : tempered cuspidal automorphic representation

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter

$\check{M}^{\rho_\pi(\text{Gal})} = \{x\}$ is a single point

$T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$: cotangent space of $\check{M}$ at x

For suitable special cycles Z_V, Z'_V , we expect

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z'_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \prod_i L(S_i, \epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i/2).$$

The constants $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are called **eigenweights**, and are determined by an **intersection vector field** $I \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^{\check{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m})$ constructed from Z_V, Z'_V .

Expectation

π : tempered cuspidal automorphic representation

$\rho_\pi : \text{Gal} \rightarrow \check{G}(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter

$\check{M}^{\rho_\pi(\text{Gal})} = \{x\}$ is a single point

$T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$: cotangent space of $\check{M}$ at x

For suitable special cycles Z_V, Z'_V , we expect

$$\langle (Z_V)_\pi, (Z'_V)_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \prod_i L(S_i, \epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i/2).$$

The constants $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are called **eigenweights**, and are determined by an **intersection vector field** $l \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^{\check{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m})$ constructed from Z_V, Z'_V .

Intersection vector field

- 1 Gross–Zagier-type formulas
- 2 Relative Langlands duality
- 3 Intersection vector field**
- 4 Proof strategy in examples

Determining the eigenweights

The special cycles Z_V, Z'_V determine an **intersection vector field**

$$I \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^{\check{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m}).$$

Since I vanishes at x , its linearization defines the *Hessian endomorphism*

$$\text{Hess}_{I,x} : T_x^* \check{M} \longrightarrow T_x^* \check{M}.$$

It is $\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant.

Choose a decomposition $T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i)$ such that

$$\boxed{\text{Hess}_{I,x}|_{S_i(d_i)} = \epsilon_{V,i} \cdot \text{id} \in \text{End}(S_i(d_i))}.$$

The resulting scalars $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are the eigenweights.

Determining the eigenweights

The special cycles Z_V, Z'_V determine an **intersection vector field**

$$I \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^{\check{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m}).$$

Since I vanishes at x , its linearization defines the *Hessian endomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{I,x} : T_x^* \check{M} \longrightarrow T_x^* \check{M}.$$

It is $\mathrm{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant.

Choose a decomposition $T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i)$ such that

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Hess}_{I,x}|_{S_i(d_i)} = \epsilon_{V,i} \cdot \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(S_i(d_i))}.$$

The resulting scalars $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are the eigenweights.

Determining the eigenweights

The special cycles Z_V, Z'_V determine an **intersection vector field**

$$I \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^{\check{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m}).$$

Since I vanishes at x , its linearization defines the *Hessian endomorphism*

$$\text{Hess}_{I,x} : T_x^* \check{M} \longrightarrow T_x^* \check{M}.$$

It is $\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant.

Choose a decomposition $T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i)$ such that

$$\boxed{\text{Hess}_{I,x}|_{S_i(d_i)} = \epsilon_{V,i} \cdot \text{id} \in \text{End}(S_i(d_i))}.$$

The resulting scalars $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are the eigenweights.

Determining the eigenweights

The special cycles Z_V, Z'_V determine an **intersection vector field**

$$I \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^{\check{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m}).$$

Since I vanishes at x , its linearization defines the *Hessian endomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{I,x} : T_x^* \check{M} \longrightarrow T_x^* \check{M}.$$

It is $\mathrm{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant.

Choose a decomposition $T_x^* \check{M} = \bigoplus_i S_i(d_i)$ such that

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Hess}_{I,x}|_{S_i(d_i)} = \epsilon_{V,i} \cdot \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(S_i(d_i))}.$$

The resulting scalars $\epsilon_{V,i}$ are the eigenweights.

Construction of the intersection vector field

In our examples,

$$Z_V \longleftarrow c_V: \check{M} \rightarrow V$$

$$Z'_V \longleftarrow c'_V: \check{M} \rightarrow V^*$$

for $\check{G}$ -equivariant maps c_V, c'_V .

Set

$$f_V := (c_V, c'_V): \check{M} \rightarrow T^*V.$$

Pull back the canonical 1-form $\theta_V \in \Gamma(T^*V, \Omega_{T^*V})$ to define

$$I := f_V^* \theta_V \in \Gamma(\check{M}, \Omega_{\check{M}}) \cong \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^*).$$

We require f_V to factor through the isotropic locus:

$$f_V: \check{M} \rightarrow (T^*V)_{\text{isotropic}} \subset T^*V.$$

Construction of the intersection vector field

In our examples,

$$Z_V \iff c_V: \check{M} \rightarrow V$$

$$Z'_V \iff c'_V: \check{M} \rightarrow V^*$$

for $\check{G}$ -equivariant maps c_V, c'_V .

Set

$$f_V := (c_V, c'_V): \check{M} \rightarrow T^*V.$$

Pull back the canonical 1-form $\theta_V \in \Gamma(T^*V, \Omega_{T^*V})$ to define

$$I := f_V^* \theta_V \in \Gamma(\check{M}, \Omega_{\check{M}}) \cong \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^*).$$

We require f_V to factor through the isotropic locus:

$$f_V: \check{M} \rightarrow (T^*V)_{\text{isotropic}} \subset T^*V.$$

Construction of the intersection vector field

In our examples,

$$Z_V \longleftarrow c_V: \check{M} \rightarrow V$$

$$Z'_V \longleftarrow c'_V: \check{M} \rightarrow V^*$$

for $\check{G}$ -equivariant maps c_V, c'_V .

Set

$$f_V := (c_V, c'_V): \check{M} \rightarrow T^*V.$$

Pull back the canonical 1-form $\theta_V \in \Gamma(T^*V, \Omega_{T^*V})$ to define

$$I := f_V^* \theta_V \in \Gamma(\check{M}, \Omega_{\check{M}}) \cong \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^*).$$

We require f_V to factor through the isotropic locus:

$$f_V: \check{M} \rightarrow (T^*V)_{\text{isotropic}} \subset T^*V.$$

Construction of the intersection vector field

In our examples,

$$Z_V \longleftarrow c_V: \check{M} \rightarrow V$$

$$Z'_V \longleftarrow c'_V: \check{M} \rightarrow V^*$$

for $\check{G}$ -equivariant maps c_V, c'_V .

Set

$$f_V := (c_V, c'_V): \check{M} \rightarrow T^*V.$$

Pull back the canonical 1-form $\theta_V \in \Gamma(T^*V, \Omega_{T^*V})$ to define

$$I := f_V^* \theta_V \in \Gamma(\check{M}, \Omega_{\check{M}}) \cong \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}^*).$$

We require f_V to factor through the isotropic locus:

$$f_V: \check{M} \rightarrow (T^*V)_{\text{isotropic}} \subset T^*V.$$

A precise formulation

For an unramified tempered cuspidal automorphic representation π over a function field, we expect:

Conjecture

Assuming $H_c^*(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)_\pi \neq 0$ and $S_{\rho_\pi} = Z(\check{G})$, one has

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle = \frac{q^{(g-1)(-\dim G + \dim H)}}{|Z(\check{G})| L(\text{Ad}_{\rho_\pi}, 1) (\log q)^r} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} \\ \left(q^{(2g-2)bs} \prod_i L(S_i, \epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i) \right)$$

where

- b is an explicit constant depending on c_V, c'_V ;
- $Z(\check{G})$ is the center of $\check{G}$.

A precise formulation

For an unramified tempered cuspidal automorphic representation π over a function field, we expect:

Conjecture

Assuming $H_c^*(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)_\pi \neq 0$ and $S_{\rho_\pi} = Z(\check{G})$, one has

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle = \frac{q^{(g-1)(-\dim G + \dim H)}}{|Z(\check{G})| L(\text{Ad}_{\rho_\pi}, 1) (\log q)^r} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} \\ \left(q^{(2g-2)bs} \prod_i L(S_i, \epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i) \right)$$

where

- b is an explicit constant depending on c_V, c'_V ;
- $Z(\check{G})$ is the center of $\check{G}$.

A precise formulation

For an unramified tempered cuspidal automorphic representation π over a function field, we expect:

Conjecture

Assuming $H_c^*(\text{Sht}_{G,V}^r)_\pi \neq 0$ and $S_{\rho_\pi} = Z(\check{G})$, one has

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle = \frac{q^{(g-1)(-\dim G + \dim H)}}{|Z(\check{G})| L(\text{Ad}_{\rho_\pi}, 1) (\log q)^r} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^r \Big|_{s=0} \\ \left(q^{(2g-2)bs} \prod_i L(S_i, \epsilon_{V,i}s + d_i) \right)$$

where

- b is an explicit constant depending on c_V, c'_V ;
- $Z(\check{G})$ is the center of $\check{G}$.

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad \check{M} = (\mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^*)(1).$$

$$V = V^* = \check{M} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

Set

$$c_V = c'_V = \mathrm{id} : \check{M} \rightarrow V = V^*$$

For a basis $\check{M} \cong \mathbb{C}^N$, this gives

$$I = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \partial_{x_j} \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}).$$

Suppose $\check{M}^{\mathrm{Gal}} = \{0\}$. Then

$$T_0^* \check{M} = V(1) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Hess}_{I,0} = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(V(1))}.$$

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad \check{M} = (\mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^*)(1).$$

$$V = V^* = \check{M} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

Set

$$c_V = c'_V = \mathrm{id} : \check{M} \rightarrow V = V^*$$

For a basis $\check{M} \cong \mathbb{C}^N$, this gives

$$I = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \partial_{x_j} \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}).$$

Suppose $\check{M}^{\mathrm{Gal}} = \{0\}$. Then

$$T_0^* \check{M} = V(1) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Hess}_{I,0} = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(V(1))}.$$

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad \check{M} = (\mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^*)(1).$$

$$V = V^* = \check{M} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

Set

$$c_V = c'_V = \mathrm{id} : \check{M} \rightarrow V = V^*$$

For a basis $\check{M} \cong \mathbb{C}^N$, this gives

$$I = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \partial_{x_j} \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}).$$

Suppose $\check{M}^{\mathrm{Gal}} = \{0\}$. Then

$$T_0^* \check{M} = V(1) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Hess}_{I,0} = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(V(1))}.$$

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad \check{M} = (\mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^*)(1).$$

$$V = V^* = \check{M} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

Set

$$c_V = c'_V = \mathrm{id} : \check{M} \rightarrow V = V^*$$

For a basis $\check{M} \cong \mathbb{C}^N$, this gives

$$I = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \partial_{x_j} \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}).$$

Suppose $\check{M}^{\mathrm{Gal}} = \{0\}$. Then

$$T_0^* \check{M} = V(1) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Hess}_{I,0} = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(V(1))}.$$

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad \check{M} = (\mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^*)(1).$$

$$V = V^* = \check{M} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

Set

$$c_V = c'_V = \mathrm{id} : \check{M} \rightarrow V = V^*$$

For a basis $\check{M} \cong \mathbb{C}^N$, this gives

$$I = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \partial_{x_j} \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}).$$

Suppose $\check{M}^{\mathrm{Gal}} = \{0\}$. Then

$$T_0^* \check{M} = V(1) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Hess}_{I,0} = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(V(1))}.$$

Example 1: Rankin–Selberg

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$$

$$\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, \quad \check{M} = (\mathrm{Std}_n \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1} \oplus \mathrm{Std}_n^* \boxtimes \mathrm{Std}_{n-1}^*)(1).$$

$$V = V^* = \check{M} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$$

Set

$$c_V = c'_V = \mathrm{id} : \check{M} \rightarrow V = V^*$$

For a basis $\check{M} \cong \mathbb{C}^N$, this gives

$$I = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \partial_{x_j} \in \Gamma(\check{M}, T_{\check{M}}).$$

Suppose $\check{M}^{\mathrm{Gal}} = \{0\}$. Then

$$T_0^* \check{M} = V(1) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{I,0} = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(V(1)).$$

Example 2: Diagonal case

$H =$ split simple algebraic group, $G = H \times H$

$$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}, \quad \check{M} = T^*\check{H} = \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

$V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ for $V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{H})$ irreducible

Define

$$c_V: \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow V \cong \text{End}(V_H), \quad c_V(h, X) = r_{V_H}(h),$$

$$c'_V: \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow V^* \cong \text{End}(V_H^*), \quad c'_V(h, X) = dr_{V_H^*}(X) \circ r_{V_H^*}(h).$$

where $r_{V_H}: \check{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_H)$ and $dr_{V_H}: \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_H)$.

Suppose $\check{M}^{\text{Gal}} = \{x = (1, 0) \in \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}}\}$. Then

$$T_x^* \check{M} = \check{\mathfrak{h}}^*(2) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{h}}(0) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\text{Hess}_{l,x} = (0, \epsilon_{V_H} \cdot \text{id}) \in \text{End}(\check{\mathfrak{h}}^*(2) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{h}}(0)).$$

Example 2: Diagonal case

$H =$ split simple algebraic group, $G = H \times H$

$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $\check{M} = T^*\check{H} = \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}}$.

$V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ for $V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{H})$ irreducible

Define

$$c_V: \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow V \cong \text{End}(V_H), \quad c_V(h, X) = r_{V_H}(h),$$

$$c'_V: \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow V^* \cong \text{End}(V_H^*), \quad c'_V(h, X) = dr_{V_H^*}(X) \circ r_{V_H^*}(h).$$

where $r_{V_H}: \check{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_H)$ and $dr_{V_H}: \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_H)$.

Suppose $\check{M}^{\text{Gal}} = \{x = (1, 0) \in \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}}\}$. Then

$$T_x^* \check{M} = \check{\mathfrak{h}}^*(2) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{h}}(0) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\text{Hess}_{l,x} = (0, \epsilon_{V_H} \cdot \text{id}) \in \text{End}(\check{\mathfrak{h}}^*(2) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{h}}(0)).$$

Example 2: Diagonal case

$H =$ split simple algebraic group, $G = H \times H$

$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $\check{M} = T^*\check{H} = \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}}$.

$V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ for $V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{H})$ irreducible

Define

$$c_V: \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow V \cong \text{End}(V_H), \quad c_V(h, X) = r_{V_H}(h),$$

$$c'_V: \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow V^* \cong \text{End}(V_H^*), \quad c'_V(h, X) = dr_{V_H^*}(X) \circ r_{V_H^*}(h).$$

where $r_{V_H}: \check{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_H)$ and $dr_{V_H}: \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_H)$.

Suppose $\check{M}^{\text{Gal}} = \{x = (1, 0) \in \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}}\}$. Then

$$T_x^* \check{M} = \check{\mathfrak{h}}^*(2) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{h}}(0) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\text{Hess}_{l,x} = (0, \epsilon_{V_H} \cdot \text{id}) \in \text{End}(\check{\mathfrak{h}}^*(2) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{h}}(0)).$$

Example 2: Diagonal case

$H =$ split simple algebraic group, $G = H \times H$

$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $\check{M} = T^*\check{H} = \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}}$.

$V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ for $V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{H})$ irreducible

Define

$$c_V: \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow V \cong \text{End}(V_H), \quad c_V(h, X) = r_{V_H}(h),$$

$$c'_V: \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow V^* \cong \text{End}(V_H^*), \quad c'_V(h, X) = dr_{V_H^*}(X) \circ r_{V_H^*}(h).$$

where $r_{V_H}: \check{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_H)$ and $dr_{V_H}: \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_H)$.

Suppose $\check{M}^{\text{Gal}} = \{x = (1, 0) \in \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}}\}$. Then

$$T_x^* \check{M} = \check{\mathfrak{h}}^*(2) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{h}}(0) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\text{Hess}_{l,x} = (0, \epsilon_{V_H} \cdot \text{id}) \in \text{End}(\check{\mathfrak{h}}^*(2) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{h}}(0)).$$

Example 2: Diagonal case

$H =$ split simple algebraic group, $G = H \times H$

$\check{G} = \check{H} \times \check{H}$, $\check{M} = T^*\check{H} = \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}}$.

$V = V_H \boxtimes V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ for $V_H \in \text{Rep}(\check{H})$ irreducible

Define

$$c_V: \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow V \cong \text{End}(V_H), \quad c_V(h, X) = r_{V_H}(h),$$

$$c'_V: \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow V^* \cong \text{End}(V_H^*), \quad c'_V(h, X) = dr_{V_H^*}(X) \circ r_{V_H^*}(h).$$

where $r_{V_H}: \check{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_H)$ and $dr_{V_H}: \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_H)$.

Suppose $\check{M}^{\text{Gal}} = \{x = (1, 0) \in \check{H} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}}\}$. Then

$$T_x^* \check{M} = \check{\mathfrak{h}}^*(2) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{h}}(0) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\text{Hess}_{l,x} = (0, \epsilon_{V_H} \cdot \text{id}) \in \text{End}(\check{\mathfrak{h}}^*(2) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{h}}(0)).$$

Remarks on eigenweights

The eigenweight ϵ_{V_H} is given by

$$\epsilon_{V_H} = 2h^\vee l \frac{\text{tr}(dr_{V_H}(X)dr_{V_H}(Y))}{\kappa(X, Y)}$$

where

- $X, Y \in \check{\mathfrak{h}}$;
- $\kappa : \check{\mathfrak{h}} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the Killing form;
- $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of H ;
- l is the lacing number of H .

Remarks on eigenweights

The eigenweight ϵ_{V_H} is given by

$$\epsilon_{V_H} = 2h^\vee l \frac{\text{tr}(dr_{V_H}(X)dr_{V_H}(Y))}{\kappa(X, Y)}$$

where

- $X, Y \in \check{\mathfrak{h}}$;
- $\kappa : \check{\mathfrak{h}} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the Killing form;
- $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of H ;
- l is the lacing number of H .

Remarks on eigenweights

The eigenweight ϵ_{V_H} is given by

$$\epsilon_{V_H} = 2h^\vee l \frac{\text{tr}(dr_{V_H}(X)dr_{V_H}(Y))}{\kappa(X, Y)}$$

where

- $X, Y \in \check{\mathfrak{h}}$;
- $\kappa : \check{\mathfrak{h}} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the Killing form;
- $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of H ;
- l is the lacing number of H .

Remarks on eigenweights

The eigenweight ϵ_{V_H} is given by

$$\epsilon_{V_H} = 2h^\vee l \frac{\text{tr}(dr_{V_H}(X)dr_{V_H}(Y))}{\kappa(X, Y)}$$

where

- $X, Y \in \check{\mathfrak{h}}$;
- $\kappa : \check{\mathfrak{h}} \times \check{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the Killing form;
- $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of H ;
- l is the lacing number of H .

Pseudo-example 3: Volume case

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$\check{M} = \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f)$, where (e, f, h) is a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple.

Let $V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ be irreducible, with highest weight vectors $v \in V$ and $v^* \in V^*$.

Define

$$c_V: \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f) \rightarrow V, \quad c_V(g, e + X) = gv,$$

$$c'_V: \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f) \rightarrow V^*, \quad c'_V(g, e + X) = g(e + X)^{d_V+1} v^*.$$

where $d_V = \langle 2\rho, \mu_V \rangle$, $\mu_V \in X_*(T)_+$ is the highest weight of V .

Consider $x = (1, e) \in \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f)$. Then

$$T_x^* \check{M} = \check{\mathfrak{g}}^{*\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{f,i}(2d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\text{Hess}_{I,x} = (0, (\epsilon_{V,i} \cdot \text{id})_i) \in \text{End}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}^{*\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{f,i}(2d_i)).$$

Pseudo-example 3: Volume case

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$\check{M} = \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f)$, where (e, f, h) is a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple.

Let $V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ be irreducible, with highest weight vectors $v \in V$ and $v^* \in V^*$.

Define

$$c_V: \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f) \rightarrow V, \quad c_V(g, e + X) = gv,$$

$$c'_V: \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f) \rightarrow V^*, \quad c'_V(g, e + X) = g(e + X)^{d_V+1} v^*.$$

where $d_V = \langle 2\rho, \mu_V \rangle$, $\mu_V \in X_*(T)_+$ is the highest weight of V .

Consider $x = (1, e) \in \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f)$. Then

$$T_x^* \check{M} = \check{\mathfrak{g}}^{*\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{f,i}(2d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\text{Hess}_{I,x} = (0, (\epsilon_{V,i} \cdot \text{id})_i) \in \text{End}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}^{*\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{f,i}(2d_i)).$$

Pseudo-example 3: Volume case

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$\check{M} = \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f)$, where (e, f, h) is a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple.

Let $V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ be irreducible, with highest weight vectors $v \in V$ and $v^* \in V^*$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} c_V: \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f) &\rightarrow V, & c_V(g, e + X) &= gv, \\ c'_V: \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f) &\rightarrow V^*, & c'_V(g, e + X) &= g(e + X)^{d_V+1} v^*. \end{aligned}$$

where $d_V = \langle 2\rho, \mu_V \rangle$, $\mu_V \in X_*(T)_+$ is the highest weight of V .

Consider $x = (1, e) \in \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f)$. Then

$$T_x^* \check{M} = \check{\mathfrak{g}}^{*\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{f,i}(2d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\text{Hess}_{I,x} = (0, (\epsilon_{V,i} \cdot \text{id})_i) \in \text{End}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}^{*\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{f,i}(2d_i)).$$

Pseudo-example 3: Volume case

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$\check{M} = \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f)$, where (e, f, h) is a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple.

Let $V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ be irreducible, with highest weight vectors $v \in V$ and $v^* \in V^*$.

Define

$$c_V: \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f) \rightarrow V, \quad c_V(g, e + X) = gv,$$

$$c'_V: \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f) \rightarrow V^*, \quad c'_V(g, e + X) = g(e + X)^{d_V+1} v^*.$$

where $d_V = \langle 2\rho, \mu_V \rangle$, $\mu_V \in X_*(T)_+$ is the highest weight of V .

Consider $x = (1, e) \in \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f)$. Then

$$T_x^* \check{M} = \check{\mathfrak{g}}^{*\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{f,i}(2d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\text{Hess}_{I,x} = (0, (\epsilon_{V,i} \cdot \text{id})_i) \in \text{End}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}^{*\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{f,i}(2d_i)).$$

Pseudo-example 3: Volume case

$H = G =$ split simple reductive group

$\check{M} = \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f)$, where (e, f, h) is a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple.

Let $V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ be irreducible, with highest weight vectors $v \in V$ and $v^* \in V^*$.

Define

$$c_V: \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f) \rightarrow V, \quad c_V(g, e + X) = gv,$$

$$c'_V: \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f) \rightarrow V^*, \quad c'_V(g, e + X) = g(e + X)^{d_V+1} v^*.$$

where $d_V = \langle 2\rho, \mu_V \rangle$, $\mu_V \in X_*(T)_+$ is the highest weight of V .

Consider $x = (1, e) \in \check{G} \times (e + \check{\mathfrak{g}}_f)$. Then

$$T_x^* \check{M} = \check{\mathfrak{g}}^{*\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{f,i}(2d_i) \in \text{Rep}(\text{Gal} \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$\text{Hess}_{l,x} = (0, (\epsilon_{V,i} \cdot \text{id})_i) \in \text{End}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}^{*\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{f,i}(2d_i)).$$

Proof strategy in examples

- 1 Gross–Zagier-type formulas
- 2 Relative Langlands duality
- 3 Intersection vector field
- 4 Proof strategy in examples

More on relative Langlands duality

For the spherical G -variety $X = H \backslash G$, the unramified Hecke category

$$\mathrm{Shv}(L^+G \backslash LG / L^+G)^{\heartsuit} \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})^{\heartsuit},$$

acts on the relative Hecke category $\mathrm{Shv}(LX / L^+G)$.

Consider the basic object

$$\delta_X \in \mathrm{Shv}(LX / L^+G).$$

For $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$, local relative Langlands duality predicts

$$\mathrm{Map}(\check{M}, V)^{\vee} \cong \mathrm{Map}(V * \delta_X, \delta_X).$$

Globally, the map $\pi : \mathrm{Bun}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$ yields the *period sheaf*

$$\mathcal{P}_X := \pi_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \in \mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Bun}_G).$$

More on relative Langlands duality

For the spherical G -variety $X = H \backslash G$, the unramified Hecke category

$$\mathrm{Shv}(L^+G \backslash LG / L^+G)^{\heartsuit} \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})^{\heartsuit},$$

acts on the relative Hecke category $\mathrm{Shv}(LX / L^+G)$.

Consider the basic object

$$\delta_X \in \mathrm{Shv}(LX / L^+G).$$

For $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$, local relative Langlands duality predicts

$$\mathrm{Map}(\check{M}, V)^{\vee} \cong \mathrm{Map}(V * \delta_X, \delta_X).$$

Globally, the map $\pi : \mathrm{Bun}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$ yields the *period sheaf*

$$\mathcal{P}_X := \pi_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \in \mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Bun}_G).$$

More on relative Langlands duality

For the spherical G -variety $X = H \backslash G$, the unramified Hecke category

$$\mathrm{Shv}(L^+G \backslash LG / L^+G)^{\heartsuit} \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})^{\heartsuit},$$

acts on the relative Hecke category $\mathrm{Shv}(LX / L^+G)$.

Consider the basic object

$$\delta_X \in \mathrm{Shv}(LX / L^+G).$$

For $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$, local relative Langlands duality predicts

$$\mathrm{Map}(\check{M}, V)^{\mathbb{J}} \cong \mathrm{Map}(V * \delta_X, \delta_X).$$

Globally, the map $\pi : \mathrm{Bun}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$ yields the *period sheaf*

$$\mathcal{P}_X := \pi_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \in \mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Bun}_G).$$

More on relative Langlands duality

For the spherical G -variety $X = H \backslash G$, the unramified Hecke category

$$\mathrm{Shv}(L^+G \backslash LG / L^+G)^{\heartsuit} \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})^{\heartsuit},$$

acts on the relative Hecke category $\mathrm{Shv}(LX / L^+G)$.

Consider the basic object

$$\delta_X \in \mathrm{Shv}(LX / L^+G).$$

For $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$, local relative Langlands duality predicts

$$\mathrm{Map}(\check{M}, V)^{\heartsuit} \cong \mathrm{Map}(V * \delta_X, \delta_X).$$

Globally, the map $\pi : \mathrm{Bun}_H \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$ yields the *period sheaf*

$$\mathcal{P}_X := \pi_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \in \mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Bun}_G).$$

Local–global compatibility

Start with $c_V \in \mathrm{Map}(\check{M}, V)^{\vee} \cong \mathrm{Map}(V^* \delta_X, \delta_X)$:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 c_V : V^* \delta_X \rightarrow \delta_X \\
 \downarrow \text{Geometric } \Theta\text{-series} \\
 c_V^{\mathrm{glob}} : V^* \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X \\
 \downarrow \text{Sheaf-cycle correspondence/local term} \\
 Z_V \in IH_*^{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,V})
 \end{array}$$

Verdier duality similarly relates c'_V to Z'_V .

Local–global compatibility

Start with $c_V \in \mathrm{Map}(\check{M}, V)^{\vee} \cong \mathrm{Map}(V^* \delta_X, \delta_X)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 c_V : V^* \delta_X \rightarrow \delta_X & & \\
 \downarrow \text{Geometric } \Theta\text{-series} & & \\
 c_V^{\mathrm{glob}} : V^* \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X & & \\
 \downarrow \text{Sheaf-cycle correspondence/local term} & & \\
 Z_V \in IH_*^{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,V}) & &
 \end{array}$$

Verdier duality similarly relates c'_V to Z'_V .

Local–global compatibility

Start with $c_V \in \mathrm{Map}(\check{M}, V)^{\vee} \cong \mathrm{Map}(V^* \delta_X, \delta_X)$:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 c_V : V^* \delta_X \rightarrow \delta_X \\
 \downarrow \text{Geometric } \Theta\text{-series} \\
 c_V^{\mathrm{glob}} : V^* \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X \\
 \downarrow \text{Sheaf-cycle correspondence/local term} \\
 Z_V \in IH_*^{BM}(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,V})
 \end{array}$$

Verdier duality similarly relates c'_V to Z'_V .

Intersection number as a trace

Let $\mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}$ be the stalk of the algebra of L -observables.

$$T_x^* \check{M} // = \bigoplus_i S_i[d_i](d_i/2).$$

$$\mathrm{Gr}_\bullet(\mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet R\Gamma(C_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, T_x^* \check{M} //).$$

The maps c_V, c'_V define an **intersection observable**

$$\mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi} : \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi} \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}$$

such that

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob} \circ (\mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi})^r, \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}).$$

We expect

$$\mathrm{Gr}_\bullet \mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi} \sim \nabla_{R\Gamma(\mathrm{Hess}_{I, x})} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M} //)),$$

where the latter denotes the derivation induced by
 $R\Gamma(\mathrm{Hess}_{I, x}) : R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M} //) \rightarrow R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M} //).$

Intersection number as a trace

Let $\mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}$ be the stalk of the algebra of L -observables.
 $T_x^* \check{M} // = \bigoplus_i S_i[d_i](d_i/2).$

$$\mathrm{Gr}_\bullet(\mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet R\Gamma(C_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, T_x^* \check{M} //).$$

The maps c_V, c'_V define an **intersection observable**

$$\mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi} : \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi} \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}$$

such that

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob} \circ (\mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi})^r, \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}).$$

We expect

$$\mathrm{Gr}_\bullet \mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi} \sim \nabla_{R\Gamma(\mathrm{Hess}_{I,x})} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M} //)),$$

where the latter denotes the derivation induced by
 $R\Gamma(\mathrm{Hess}_{I,x}) : R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M} //) \rightarrow R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M} //).$

Intersection number as a trace

Let $\mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}$ be the stalk of the algebra of L -observables.
 $T_x^* \check{M} // = \bigoplus_i S_i[d_i](d_i/2).$

$$\mathrm{Gr}_\bullet(\mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet R\Gamma(C_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, T_x^* \check{M} //).$$

The maps c_V, c'_V define an **intersection observable**

$$\mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi} : \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi} \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}$$

such that

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob} \circ (\mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi})^r, \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}).$$

We expect

$$\mathrm{Gr}_\bullet \mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi} \sim \nabla_{R\Gamma(\mathrm{Hess}_{I,x})} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M} //)),$$

where the latter denotes the derivation induced by
 $R\Gamma(\mathrm{Hess}_{I,x}) : R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M} //) \rightarrow R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M} //).$

Intersection number as a trace

Let $\mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}$ be the stalk of the algebra of L -observables.
 $T_x^* \check{M}^\flat = \bigoplus_i S_i[d_i](d_i/2).$

$$\mathrm{Gr}_\bullet(\mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet R\Gamma(C_{\mathbb{F}_q}, T_x^* \check{M}^\flat).$$

The maps c_V, c'_V define an **intersection observable**

$$\mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi} : \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi} \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}$$

such that

$$\langle (Z_V^r)_\pi, (Z_V^r)'_{\pi^\vee} \rangle \sim \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob} \circ (\mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi})^r, \mathbb{O}_{\check{M}, \rho_\pi}).$$

We expect

$$\mathrm{Gr}_\bullet \mathbb{I}_{\rho_\pi} \sim \nabla_{R\Gamma(\mathrm{Hess}_{I,x})} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M}^\flat)),$$

where the latter denotes the derivation induced by
 $R\Gamma(\mathrm{Hess}_{I,x}) : R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M}^\flat) \rightarrow R\Gamma(T_x^* \check{M}^\flat).$

Thank you!